



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,666	12/12/2005	Robert George Hercus	00019	2296
71897	7590	10/17/2007	EXAMINER	
KAUTH , POMEROY , PECK & BAILEY ,LLP P.O. BOX 19152 IRVINE, CA 92623			BROWN JR, NATHAN H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/560,666	HERCUS, ROBERT GEORGE	
	Examiner Nathan H. Brown, Jr.	Art Unit 2121	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nathan H. Brown, Jr.

(3) Robert Hercus.

(2) David Bailey.

(4) Keith Callinan.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2007

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Robert Hercus presented the capabilities and method of operation of the claimed invention. A discussion of comparable neural network models ensued. The ART and Cascade-Correlation models were mentioned as alternative possible prior art to that used in the first office action. A short consideration of 101 issues raised in the first office action was given. In Re Comiskey was briefly considered as the new 101 hurdle.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required